Professional Standards Bureau Paragraph #252 - Detailed Summaries of Completed Internal Affairs Investigations 10/01/2025 - 10/31/2025

IA No Open date IA2024-0211 05/01/2024	Incident type External Complaint	Summery The complainant alleged a Sworn Captain retaliated against him due to filing a complaint against an MCSO Deputy.	Allegation(s)/Force Lype(s) CP11 - Anti-Retaliation	Unfounded	Distipline	Investigative Summery The investigation determined the allegation was false or not supported by fact.	Unfounded	10/01/202
A2025-0191 05/09/2025	External Complaint	The complainant alleged a Detention Officer was rude and unprofessional because he skipped the line at a cafe and cut people off that were waiting in line to pay.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor	Not-Sustained		The investigation determined that there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegation.	Not-Sustained	10/01/202
2018-0594 09/19/2018	Internal Complaint	It was alleged a Food Service Worker made inappropriate sexual statements to coworkers and in front of inmates. Additionally, it was alleged the employee has inappropriately pulled his coworkers' hair, and touched their chest and buttocks. Furthermore, it was internally alleged employees failed to report a sexual relationship.	CP3 - Workplace Professionalism CP3 - Workplace Professionalism CP3 - Workplace Professionalism	Sustained Sustained Sustained	Previously Terminated Previously Resigned/Retired	The investigation determined that the allegations are supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justify a reasonable conclusion of a policy violation.	Sustained	10/02/202
2019-0140 04/01/2019	Internal Complaint	It was alleged an unknown Detention Officer failed to distribute multiple pieces of postal and legal mail.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Sustained		The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of a policy violation.	Sustained	10/02/202
A2022-0333 07/28/2022	External Complaint	The complainant alleged Deputies towed his equipment and vehicles from the roadway and failed to secure video surveillance footage during a call for service. During the investigation, it was alleged a Lieutenant failed to activate his Body Worn Camera in accordance with policy.	GJ35 - Body-Worn Cameras CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Sustained Exonerated Exonerated Exonerated	Coaching	The investigation determined that the Deputies actions to secure video surveillance and the removal of the Complainants equipment from the roadway were found to be within Office Policy, Procedures and Training. Furthermore, the Lieutenant was found in violation of policy for failure to activate his body-worn camera.	Sustained	10/02/202
A2025-0171 04/28/2025	External Complaint	The complainant alleged that a Detention Officer used sexually derogatory slurs toward an inmate.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor	Not-Sustained		The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegation.	Not-Sustained	10/02/202
A2025-0196 05/16/2025	External Complaint	The complainant alleged a Call Taker was rude and hung up on her during a call for service. It is also alleged that the Call Taker refused to provide the complainant with her name and badge number.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor	Unfounded Unfounded		The investigation determined by clear and convincing evidence, that the allegations were false or not supported by fact.	Unfounded	10/02/202
A2025-0241 06/03/2025	External Complaint	The complainant alleged an unknown employee at SIMS was rude and unprofessional.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor	Not-Sustained		The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegation.	Not-Sustained	10/02/202
A2025-0250 06/05/2025	Internal Complaint	It was alleged that a Deputy mistreated a recruit in the training academy.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relationships with other Employees	Unfounded		The investigation determined by clear and convincing evidence that the allegation was false or not supported by fact.	Unfounded	10/02/202
A2025-0270 06/19/2025	External Complaint	The complainant alleged a Deputy made an inappropriate comment towards her. It is further alleged the Deputy failed to activate his Body Worn Camera in a timely manner and failed to contact a supervisor when requested.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor G135 - Body-Worn Cameras CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Not-Sustained Unfounded Unfounded		The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the Deputy made an unprofessional comment. Furthermore, the allegations that the Deputy failed to activate his body-worn camera and contact a supervisor were found false or not supported by fact.	Not-Sustained	10/02/202
IA2017-0779 10/20/2017	External Complaint	The complainants alleged that between August 2015 and October 2015, a Deputy made them feel uncomfortable by making sexual comments. It was also alleged he entered their backyard uninvited, sent text and public on messages with information pertaining to a Califor service, used Facebook to post about an active investigation, and made inappropriate sexual comments on a local website.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Confidential Information CP2 - Code of Conduct - Confidential Information CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor	Not-Sustained Not-Sustained Not-Sustained Not-Sustained Not-Sustained		The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegations.	Not-Sustained	d 10/03/202
IA2025-0236 05/30/2025	Internal Complaint	It was alleged that a Detention Officer Trainee pointed a Taser at another recruit and was untruthful when questioned by a supervisor.	CP5 - Truthfulness CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Sustained Sustained	Previously Resigned/Retired	The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of multiple poli violations.	icy Sustained	10/03/202
A2025-0275 06/20/2025		It was alleged two Inmate Classification Specialists made disrespectful comments about other employees.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relationships with other Employees CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relationships with other Employees	Not-Sustained Not-Sustained		The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegations.	Not-Sustained	
A2025-0362 08/25/2025	Internal Complaint	It was alleged a Detention Officer was absent without prior approval for shifts from July 15th to August 15th 2025.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Sustained	Previously Terminated	The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of a policy violation.	Sustained	10/03/202
A2024-0212 05/08/2024	Internal Complaint	It was alleged an Inmate Classification Specialist was disrespectful to supervisors and a Supervisor was unprofessional during a meeting with an employee.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relationships with other Employees CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relationships with other Employees	Not-Sustained Not-Sustained		The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegations.	Not-Sustained	10/07/20
A2024-0297 06/19/2024	Internal Complaint	It was alleged that a Detention Officer failed to attend a mandatory fundamental firearms course and did not keep his supervisor informed. It was further alleged that he carried his MCSO-issued firearm without proper authorization.	GJ23 - Firearms GJ23 - Firearms GJ23 - Firearms CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained	Employee Suspended	The investigation determined that the allegations were supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of multipolicy violations.	ple Sustained	10/07/202
A2025-0166 04/22/2025	External Complaint	The complainant alleged a Sworn Sergeant was driving in an unsafe manner.	GE4 - Use/Operation of Vehicles	Sustained	Coaching	The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of a policy violation.	Sustained	10/07/202
A2025-0202 05/19/2025	Internal Complaint	It was alleged a Food Production Supervisor targeted an employee by being discriminatory, unfair and unprofessional due to his language proficiency.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relationships with other Employees	Unfounded		The investigation determined by clear and convincing evidence that the allegation was false or not supported by fact.	Unfounded	10/07/202
A2025-0255 06/06/2025	Internal Complaint	It was alleged that a Detention Officer was asleep while on duty, causing a delayed security walk.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Sleeping On-Duty	Sustained	Previously Resigned/Retired	The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of a policy violation.	Sustained	10/07/202
A2017-0649 09/11/2017	External Complaint	It is alleged a Deputy deactivated and reactivated his Body Worn Camera multiple times during a traffic stop.	GJ35 - Body-Worn Cameras	Exonerated		The investigation determined that the alleged conduct occurred, but the actions of the employee were within Office policy, procedures, or training.	Exonerated	10/08/202
A2019-0418 08/15/2019	Internal Complaint	It was alleged a Detention Officer did not follow proper procedure for two unapproved absences.	GC1 - Leave and Absences	Sustained	Coaching	The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of a policy violation.	Sustained	10/08/202
A2020-0638 11/17/2020	External Complaint	The complainant alleged that unknown Deputies encouraged threatening behavior at protesters during a political event.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Not-Sustained Not-Sustained		The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegations.	Not-Sustained	10/08/202
A2025-0147 04/16/2025	External Complaint	The complainant alleged that a Deputy failed to properly handle a civil standby call for service.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Sustained	Coaching	The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of a policy violation.	Sustained	10/08/202
A2025-0217 05/23/2025	Internal Complaint	It was alleged that a Detention Officer completed a late security walk, and a second Officer contributed to a late security walk.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards DH6 - Inmate Supervision, Security Walks and Headcounts	Sustained Sustained	Written Reprimand Coaching	The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of multiple poliviolations.	icy Sustained	10/08/202
A2025-0235 05/29/2025	Internal Complaint	It was alleged a Detention Officer made inappropriate comments to and about an inmate.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor	Sustained	Coaching	The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of a policy violation.	Sustained	10/08/202
		The complainant alleged a Deputy was unprofessional during a traffic stop.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor	Unfounded		The investigation determined by clear and convincing evidence that the allegation was false or not supported by fact.	Unfounded	10/08/20
A2025-0324 07/24/2025	External Complaint	The complainant alleged that a Sworn Sergeant was rude and forceful during a call for service.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor	Unfounded		The investigation determined by clear and convincing evidence that the allegation was false or not supported by fact.	Unfounded	10/08/202
A2024-0263 05/30/2024	Internal Complaint	It was alleged that a Detention Officer did not follow a directive. It is further internally alleged that she intentionally withhele information during questioning in an administrative investigation.	GB2 - Command Responsibility GH2 - Internal Investigations	Not-Sustained Sustained	Written Reprimand	The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the Officer did not follow a directive. However, the Officer was found in violation of policy when she intentionally withheld information.	d Sustained	10/09/202
A2025-0136 04/01/2025	Internal Complaint	It was alleged that two Detention Officers logged security walks as complete; however, the walks were improperly conducted or were not completed at the time indicated. It was internally alleged that one Officer was not truthful when questioned by PSB Investigators.	DH6 - Inmate Supervision, Security Walks and Headcounts CP5 - Truthfulness CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained	Employee Terminated Coaching	The investigation determined the allegations were supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of multiple policy violations.	Sustained	10/09/202
			DH6 - Inmate Supervision, Security Walks and Headcounts	Sustained				

Professional Standards Bureau Paragraph #252 - Detailed Summaries of Completed Internal Affairs Investigations 10/01/2025 - 10/31/2025

IA No Open date IA2017-0342 05/19/2017	Incident type Internal Complaint	Summary It was alleged that a Deputy, while off-duty, failed to conform to established laws by operating his personal vehicle under the influence of alchold and being involved in a hit and run. It was also alleged that the Deputy attempted to mislead Deputies during a DUI investigation.		Not-Sustained Not-Sustained Sustained	Discipline Previously Resigned/Retired	Investigative Summary The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the Deputy was driving under the influence and involved in a vehicle collision. However, the Deputy was found in violation of policy when he hindered/altered DUI evidence.	Disposition Sustained	Closed 10/10/2025
IA2020-0691 12/16/2020	External Complaint	The complainant alleged that five Detention Offices made an unreasonable decision by leaving a closed-custody inmate unattended in the presence of medical staff.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Sustained	Coaching	The investigation determined that the allegations regarding four of the Officers were faile or not supported by fact. However, one of the Officers was found in violation of policy for failure to make a reasonable decision.	Sustained	10/10/2025
IA2025-0172 04/28/2025	Internal Complaint	It was alleged that a PC tan Tech left computer equipment on a cart in someone else's cubicle on his last day of work, and a supervisor took a picture of the cart instead of addressing the situation appropriately.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor	Sustained Sustained Sustained	Previously Resigned/Retired Coaching	The investigation determined the allegations were supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of multiple policy violations.	Sustained	10/10/2025
IA2025-0204 05/19/2025	Internal Complaint	It was alleged a Detention Officer took inappropriate action during his contact with an inmate.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Sustained	Previously Resigned/Retired	The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of a policy violation.	Sustained	10/10/2025
IA2020-0637 11/17/2020	External Complaint	The complainant alleged Deputies conducted traffic stops on her friend due to her race.	CP8 - Preventing Racial and Other Biased Based profiling	Not-Sustained		The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegation.	Not-Sustained	d 10/15/2025
IA2021-0185 04/09/2021	External Complaint	The complainant alleged a Deputy took law enforcement actions due to a bias, was "prejudiced" and treated him like he was "white trash". It is further alleged the Deputy was hostile and failed to take appropriate action during the call for service.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP8 - Preventing Racial and Other Blased Based profiling CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded		The investigation determined the allegations were false or not supported by fact.	Unfounded	10/15/2025
IA2021-0572 11/05/2021	Internal Complaint	It was alleged that a Custodian Supervisor discriminated against a subordinate based on language proficiency, engaged in favoritism, and improperly delegated supervisory duties.	CP3 - Workplace Professionalism CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards GB2 - Command Responsibility	Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded		The investigation determined the allegations were false or not supported by fact.	Unfounded	10/15/2025
IA2021-0599 11/20/2021	Internal Complaint	It was alleged that a Distribution Supervisor removed plastic bins/crates intended for donation contents to the MCSO from the Durango Jail loading dock for his own personal use.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws	Unfounded		The investigation determined the allegation was false or not supported by fact.	Unfounded	10/15/2025
IA2025-0182 05/02/2025	External Complaint	The complainant alleged that Deputies are driving in an unsafe manner.	GE4 - Use/Operation of Vehicles GE4 - Use/Operation of Vehicles	Not-Sustained Not-Sustained		The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegations.	Not-Sustained	d 10/15/2025
IA2025-0218 05/23/2025	Internal Complaint	It was alleged a Detention Officer acted in an unprofessional manner toward a co-worker, and that a Operations Program Supervisor failed to stop the unprofessional interaction between subordinates.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Unfounded Unfounded		The investigation determined by clear and convincing evidence, that the allegations were false or not supported by fact.	Unfounded	10/15/2025
IA2019-0191 04/24/2019	External Complaint	The complainant alleged that a former Deputy injured his child during an incident while off duty.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws	Not-Sustained		The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegation.	Not-Sustained	d 10/16/2025
IA2024-0469 10/15/2024	Internal Complaint	It was alleged that a Detention Officer committed assault during a domestic dispute.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws	Not-Sustained Not-Sustained		The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegations.	Not-Sustained	d 10/16/2025
IA2019-0173 04/15/2019	External Complaint	The complainant alleged a Detention Officer consumed alcohol while under the legal drinking age. It was also alleged two other Detention Officers provided alcohol to a person under the legal drinking age.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws	Not-Sustained Not-Sustained Not-Sustained		The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegations.	Not-Sustained	d 10/17/2025
IA2020-0302 06/16/2020	External Complaint	The Complainants alleged Detention Officers are mistreating an immate by denying him access to meabt and denying his commissary order. It is further alleged the Officers made a derogatory comment regarding his medical condition and made sexual comments about his daughter.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Not-Sustained Not-Sustained Not-Sustained Not-Sustained Not-Sustained Not-Sustained Not-Sustained Not-Sustained		The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegations.	Not-Sustained	d 10/17/2025
IA2020-0607 11/03/2020	External Complaint	The complainants alleged that Deputies and a Sworn Sergeant used rice as a decision making factor during a call for service. It is also alleged that a Deputy failed to complete a proper investigation. Furthermore, it was internally alleged that a Sergeant did not ensure proper actions were taken by his subordinates.	CPB - Preventing Racial and Other Blased Based profiling CPB - Preventing Racial and Other Blased Based profiling CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP8 - Preventing Racial and Other Blased Based profiling	Unfounded Unfounded Sustained Sustained Not-Sustained	Previously Resigned/Retired Previously Resigned/Retired	The investigation determined the allegations that Deputies used race as a decision-making factor were false or not supported by fact. Furthermore, the was issufficient evidence to prove or disprove the Sergeant's decisions were based on race. However, the Sergeant failed to conform to work standards and a Deputy did not conduct a thorough investigation, resulting in two policy violations.		10/17/2025
IA2021-0271 05/26/2021	External Complaint	The Complainants alleged a Detention Officer discriminated against him by throwing his lunch sack on a table. The second complainant alleged the Officer was unprofessional by not answering questions or requests.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor	Unfounded Not-Sustained		The investigation determined the allegation that Officers were discriminatory was false or not supported by fact. There was insufficient evidence to proor disprove the Officer failed to answer questions or requests.	ve Not-Sustained	10/17/2025
IA2022-0173 04/27/2022	External Complaint	The complainant alleged a Detention Officer has been making inappropriate, unwelcomed physical advances toward her.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor	Not-Sustained		The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegation.	Not-Sustainer	d 10/17/2025
IA2025-0174 04/28/2025	External Complaint	The complainant alleged a Deputy and Posse Member assaulted her and search her vehicle without probable cause or consent.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws	Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded		The investigation determined by clear and convincing evidence, that the allegations were false or not supported by fact.	Unfounded	10/17/2025
IA2024-0175 04/22/2024	Internal Complaint	It was alleged that two Sworn Sergeants advised Detectives not to speak with a fellow employee while they were in the process of trying to transfer her from the Crimes Against Persons Unit. Furthermore, It was alleged that a Sergeant made disparaging comments about a fellow Sergeant and a Deputy to a Detective during a meeting.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relationships with other Employees CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relationships with other Employees CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relationships with other Employees	Not-Sustained Not-Sustained Not-Sustained		The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegations.	Not-Sustained	d 10/20/2025
IA2021-0126 03/08/2021	External Complaint	The complainant alleged that during a call for service, Deputies groped his sister-in-law's bottom and also hugged her.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor	Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded		The investigation determined the allegations were false or not supported by fact.	Unfounded	10/21/2025

Professional Standards Bureau Paragraph #252 - Detailed Summaries of Completed Internal Affairs Investigations 10/01/2025 - 10/31/2025

IA NO			Common .	Allegation (a) (Faces Toronto)	0	District	Investigation Communication Co	Marian .	deser
IA2021-0477	09/03/2021	Internal Complaint	Summary The complainants alleged that a Detention Sergeant demonstrated a pattern and practice of using unprofessional or inappropriate language on various	S CP2 - Code of Conduct - Command and Supervisory Responsibility	Unfounded	Written Reprimand	Investigative Summary The investigation determined that on several occasions, the Sergeant used unprofessional language, violating policy. However, there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove that Sustained	d i	10/22/202
			dates with other MCSO personnel. It was further alleged that two Officers and one Sergeant made inappropriate sexual comments in the workplace.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Unfounded Not-Sustained		four of those allegations violated policy. It was also determined that a Sergeant and an Officer made sexual comments. However, there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove		
			Furthermore, it was alleged that Lieutenants and Sergeants demonstrated a pattern of favoritism and harassment. Additionally, it was alleged that multiple Detention personnel failed to inform Command Staff of their relationship. It was also alleged that the Supervisors and Command Staff failed	CPS - Truthfulness to CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unethical Conduct	Not-Sustained Unfounded		that a Sergeant and two Officers made sexual comments. Additionally, there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove that Lieutenants and Sergeants demonstrated a pattern of favoritism and harassment. Furthermore, the allegation of multiple Personnel failing to report relationships was not supported by the facts. It was further determined that a Lieutenant		
			document the poor behavior of subordinates. Moreover, an Officer and a Sergeant were alleged to have spread rumors and engaged in gossip. An	CP3 - Workplace Professionalism	Unfounded		failed to document his supervisory actions, violating policy. However, there was insufficient evidence that he and a Sergeant failed to memorialize the behavior of their subordinates.		
			Officer and a Lieutenant were further accused of creating a hostile work environment. Finally, it was internally alleged that during their PSB interview a Sergeant and an Officer were untruthful in their statements.	s, CP3 - Workplace Professionalism CP3 - Workplace Professionalism	Unfounded Unfounded		There was also insufficient evidence to prove or disprove that an Officer and a Sergeant engaged in rumors and gossip, and that an Officer and a Lieutenant engaged in a hostile work environment. Finally, the preponderance of the evidence supported the allegations that an Officer and a Sergeant were untruthful.		
			a sergeant and an Ornice were distributed in their statements.	CP3 - Workplace Professionalism	Not-Sustained		entronnent. Finany, the preponderance of the endosce supported the angulations that an officer and a segment were unbushid.		
				CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unethical Conduct	Not-Sustained				
				CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP3 - Workplace Professionalism	Not-Sustained Not-Sustained				
				CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor	Not-Sustained				
				CP3 - Workplace Professionalism	Not-Sustained				
				CP3 - Workplace Professionalism	Sustained				
				CP3 - Workplace Professionalism CP3 - Workplace Professionalism	Not-Sustained Not-Sustained				
				CP3 - Workplace Professionalism	Sustained				
				CP3 - Workplace Professionalism	Not-Sustained				
				CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relationships with other Employees CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relationships with other Employees	Sustained Sustained	Previously Resigned/Retired			
				CP3 - Workplace Professionalism	Not-Sustained				
				CP3 - Workplace Professionalism	Not-Sustained				
				CP3 - Workplace Professionalism	Unfounded Not-Sustained				
				CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unethical Conduct CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor	Unfounded				
			CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Not-Sustained					
				CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Workplace Professionalism	Sustained Not-Sustained				
				CP3 - Workplace Professionalism	Unfounded				
				CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor	Not-Sustained				
				CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP3 - Workplace Professionalism	Not-Sustained Not-Sustained	Previously Terminated			
				CPS - Truthfulness	Not-sustained Sustained	Previously Terminated			
2022-0242	06/01/2022	External Complaint	The complainants alleged a Detention Officer was rude to an inmate and retaliating against him for filing a grievance.	CP11 - Anti-Retaliation	Unfounded		The investigation determined that the allegation that an Officer retaliated against an Inmate was false or not supported by the facts. Additionally, there Not-Sus	stained 1	10/22/20
				CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor	Not-Sustained		was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegation that the Officer was rude.		
:022-0405	08/25/2022	Internal Complaint	It was alleged a Detention Sergeant and five Officers left an inmate restrained for an extended period of time in a holding	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Sustained	Coaching	The investigation determined that a Detention Officer and Sergeant violated policy by leaving an inmate restrained for an extended time period. However, Sustaine	ed 1	10/22/20
		area. It was also alleged an Officer incorrectly conducted a security walk.	DH6 - Inmate Supervision, Security Walks and Headcounts	Unfounded		there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove that the first Officer restrained an inmate. Furthermore, the allegations that three additional officers			
			CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Unfounded		participated in the incident and incorrectly performed security walks, were found to be false or not supported by fact.			
				CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Unfounded				
				CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Not-Sustained				
				CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Unfounded Sustained	Coaching			
						Coacrinig			
2024-0328	07/02/2024	External Complaint	The complainants alleged a Detention Officer engaged in altercations with his spouse.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor	Not-Sustained		The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegation. Not-Sus	stained :	10/22/20
2025-0079	03/10/2025	External Complaint	The complainant alleged a Detention Officer made inappropriate comments to an inmate.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor	Not-Sustained		The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegation. Not-Sus	stained :	10/22/20
2025-0186	05/09/2025	External Complaint	The complainant alleged that a Deputy used race as a deciding factor while investigating a vehicle collision.	CP8 - Preventing Racial and Other Biased Based profiling	Unfounded		The investigation determined the allegation was false or not supported by fact. Unfound	nded :	10/22/20
2025-0187	05/09/2025	External Complaint	The complainant alleged that a Deputy operated his patrol vehicle in an unsafe manner, was unprofessional by laughing at	GE4 - Use/Operation of Vehicles	Sustained	Coaching	The investigation determined the Deputy violated policy by operating his vehicle in an unsafe manner. However, there was insufficient evidence to prove Sustaine	ed 1	10/22/20
			him, and failed to take appropriate action when the complainant violated traffic law. Furthermore, it is alleged that a Call	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor	Not-Sustained		or disprove the Deputy was unprofessional and failed to take appropriate action. The allegation that the Call Taker failed to take action regarding a call for		
			Taker failed to take appropriate action when the complainant called to report a Deputy's driving.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Not-Sustained Unfounded		service was found to be false or not supported by fact.		
2025-0198	05/16/2025	Internal Complaint	It was alleged that six Detention Officers during the timeframe of 01/01/2025 through 02/26/2025 failed to make reasonable decision	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Sustained	Coaching	The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove that several Officers brought unknown items into the facility and passed Sustainer	ed 1	10/22/202
			when they interacted with an unsecured Closed Custody inmate, passed and/or allowed the passing of unknown items, allowed	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Not-Sustained		administrative contraband items to inmates, interacted with unsecured Closed Custody inmates, or allowed inmates to pass items amongst themselves. However, four		
			inmates to pass unknown items between cells, brought unknown items into inmates, and passed and/or allowed the passing of administrative contraband items between inmates.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Sustained Not-Sustained		of the Officers violated policy when they passed administrative contraband items to inmates, two of the Officers interacted with unsecured Closed Custody inmates, and three Officers allowed inmates to pass items among themselves.		
			definition of the contract of	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Sustained		and the others moved immed to pass nems around the others.		
				CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Sustained	Previously Resigned/Retired			
				CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards					
					Not-Sustained				
				CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Sustained				
				CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Sustained Not-Sustained				
				CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Sustained Not-Sustained Sustained				
				CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Sustained Not-Sustained				
				CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Sustained Not-Sustained Sustained Not-Sustained Not-Sustained Sustained	Coaching			
				CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Sustained Not-Sustained Sustained Not-Sustained Not-Sustained Sustained Not-Sustained	Coaching			
				C92 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards C92 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards C92 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards C92 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards C92 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards C92 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards C92 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards C92 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards C92 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards C92 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards C92 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Sustained Not-Sustained Sustained Not-Sustained Not-Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained	Coaching			
				CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards	Sustained Not-Sustained Sustained Not-Sustained Not-Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Not-Sustained	Coaching			
				CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards	Sustained Not-Sustained Sustained Not-Sustained Not-Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained	Coaching			
				CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards	Sustained Not-Sustained Not-Sustained Not-Sustained Not-Sustained Not-Sustained Not-Sustained Not-Sustained Not-Sustained Not-Sustained Not-Sustained Not-Sustained Not-Sustained	•			
				CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards	Sustained Not-Sustained Sustained Not-Sustained Not-Sustained Sustained Sustained Not-Sustained Not-Sustained Not-Sustained Not-Sustained Not-Sustained Sustained Not-Sustain	Coaching Employee Retired			
				CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards	Sustained Not-Sustained	•			
				CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards	Sustained Not-Sustained Not-Sustained Not-Sustained Sustained Sustained Not-Sustained Sustained Not-Sustained	•			
				022 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 022 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 022 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 022 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 022 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 022 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 023 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 023 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 022 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 022 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 023 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 024 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 025 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 026 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 027 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 028 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 029 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 029 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 029 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 029 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards	Sustained Sustained Sustained Not-Sustained Not-Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Not-Sustained	•			
				CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards	Sustained Not-Sustained Not-Sustained Not-Sustained Sustained Sustained Not-Sustained Sustained Not-Sustained	•			
				CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards	Sustained Not-Sustained Sustained Not-Sustained	•			
				022 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 022 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 023 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 024 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 024 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 024 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 025 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 026 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 027 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 027 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 029 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 029 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 020 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 020 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 020 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 020 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 020 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 020 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 020 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 020 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 020 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 020 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 020 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 020 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 020 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 020 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 020 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 020 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards	Sustained Not-Sustained Sustained Not-Sustained Not-Sustai	•			
				CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards	Sustained Not-Sustained Sustained Not-Sustained Not-Sustai	•			
2025-0230	05/27/2025	Internal Complaint	It was alleged a SIMS employee made inappropriate comments about members of the public to her co-workers.	022 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 022 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 023 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 024 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 024 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 024 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 025 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 026 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 027 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 027 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 029 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 029 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 020 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 020 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 020 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 020 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 020 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 020 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 020 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 020 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 020 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 020 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 020 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 020 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 020 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 020 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 020 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 020 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards	Sustained Not-Sustained Sustained Not-Sustained Not-Sustai	•	The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of a policy Sustains	red :	10/22/20
025-0230	05/27/2025	Internal Complaint	It was alleged a SIMS employee made inappropriate comments about members of the public to her co-workers.	022 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 022 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 022 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 023 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 024 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 024 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 025 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 025 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 026 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 027 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 027 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 029 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 029 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 020 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards	Sustained Sustained Sustained Not-Sustained	Employee Retired	The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of a policy violation.	ed :	10/22/20
			It was alleged a SIMS employee made inappropriate comments about members of the public to her co-workers. It was alleged a Detention Officer was absent without prior approval for multiple shifts.	022 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 022 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 023 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 024 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 024 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 024 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 025 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 026 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 027 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 027 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 028 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 029 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 029 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 029 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 029 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 029 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 029 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 029 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 029 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 029 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 029 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 029 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 020 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 020 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 020 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 020 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 020 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 020 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 020 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards 020 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards	Sustained Not-Sustained Sustained Not-Sustained Not-Sustained Not-Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Not-Sustained Sustained Not-Sustained Not-Sustained Not-Sustained Not-Sustained Not-Sustained Not-Sustained Not-Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained	Employee Retired	violation. The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of multiple policy. Sustaine		
025-0325	07/24/2025	Internal Complaint	It was alleged a Detention Officer was absent without prior approval for multiple shifts.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards	Sustained Not-Sustained Sustained Not-Sustained Sustained Not-Sustained Sustained Not-Sustained Sustained Not-Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained	Employee Retired Employee Suspended	violation. The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of multiple policy. Sustaines violations.	ed 1	10/22/20
025-0325	07/24/2025			CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Neet Standards	Sustained Not-Sustained Sustained Not-Sustained Not-Sustained Not-Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Not-Sustained Sustained Not-Sustained Not-Sustained Not-Sustained Not-Sustained Not-Sustained Not-Sustained Not-Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained	Employee Retired Employee Suspended	violation. The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of multiple policy. Sustaine	ed 1	10/22/20
2025-0325	07/24/2025	Internal Complaint	It was alleged a Detention Officer was absent without prior approval for multiple shifts. On November 20, 2020, an out-of-state fugitive used a firearm to shoot himself during the traffic stop being conducted by	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP4 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP4 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP4 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Sustained Not-Sustained Sustained Not-Sustained Sustained Not-Sustained Sustained Not-Sustained Sustained Not-Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained	Employee Retired Employee Suspended	violation. The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of multiple policy Sustaine violations. The investigation found that the Sergeant was in compliance with MCSO policy and procedure and did not discharge his firearm. The suspect's self-	ed 1	10/22/20 10/23/20
12025-0325	07/24/2025 11/23/2020 06/04/2019	Internal Complaint Critical Incident	It was alleged a Detention Officer was absent without prior approval for multiple shifts. On November 20, 2020, an out-of-state fugitive used a firearm to shoot himself during the traffic stop being conducted by detectives. The subject was pronounced deceased. The complainant alleged that unknown Detention Officers engaged in unprofessional conduct toward him and went through	092 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards 093 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards 093 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards 094 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards 095 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards 095 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards 096 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards 097 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards 097 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards 097 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards 097 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Sustained Not-Sustained Sustained Not-Sustained Not-Sustained Not-Sustained Not-Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Not-Sustained Sustained	Employee Retired Employee Suspended	violation. The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of multiple policy Sustaine violations. The investigation found that the Sergeant was in compliance with MCSO policy and procedure and did not discharge his firearm. The suspect's self-inflicted gunshot wound was the cause of death. The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the unprofessional conduct. Additionally, the allegation that Officers went through his phone was false or not supported by fact.	ed 1	10/22/20 10/23/20 10/23/20
A2025-0325 12020-0021 A2019-0279	07/24/2025 11/23/2020 06/04/2019 06/18/2020	Internal Complaint Critical Incident External Complaint External Complaint	It was alleged a Detention Officer was absent without prior approval for multiple shifts. On November 20, 2020, an out-of-state fugitive used a firearm to shoot himself during the traffic stop being conducted by detectives. The subject was pronounced deceased. The complainant alleged that unknown Detention Officers engaged in unprofessional conduct toward him and went through his phone. The complainant alleged a Detention Officer admitted to providing false information during his hiring process, admitted to	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbeccoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbeccoming Conduct and Public Demeanor	Sustained Not-Sustained Sustained Not-Sustained Not-Sustained Not-Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Not-Sustained Sustained Sustained Not-Sustained	Employee Retired Employee Suspended	violation. The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of multiple policy Sustaine violations. The investigation found that the Sergeant was in compliance with MCSO policy and procedure and did not discharge his firearm. The suspect's self-inflicted gunshot wound was the cause of death. The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the unprofessional conduct. Additionally, the allegation that Officers went through his phone was false or not supported by fact.	ated 1	10/22/20 10/23/20 10/23/20

Professional Standards Bureau Paragraph #252 - Detailed Summaries of Completed Internal Affairs Investigations 10/01/2025 - 10/31/2025

	nen date	Incident type	Summary	Allegation(s)/Force Type(s)	Outcome	Discipline	Investigative Summary		Closed
		External Complaint	The complainant alleged a Deputy, while off duty, was malicious and is abusing his position with the Office to avoid Maricon		Not-Sustained	Discipline	The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the Former Deputy was unprofessional. Furthermore, the allegation	Not-Sustaine	d 10/23/202
	-,-,		County code ordinances.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Abuse of Position or Authority	Unfounded		that the deputy abused his position was false or not supported by fact.		,,
				,					
IA2024-0160 04	4/15/2024	Internal Complaint	It was alleged Detention Officers participated in Unbecoming Conduct by deploying pepper spray, spraying saline solution,	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor	Sustained	Employee Suspended	The investigation determined several Officers were in violation of policy for allowing others to use a Taser, spraying saline, using tasers for non work-	Sustained	10/23/202
			performed a drive-stun, and snapping an elastic tourniquet while in the medical clinic. It was further alleged two Officers	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor	Sustained		related reasons, failing to report misconduct, and engaging in inappropriate behavior. However, there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove tha	st	
		utilized their tasers for non-work-related purposes, and a third Officer gave his taser to another employee. Lastly, it was	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor	Sustained		an Officer performed a drive-stun on a CHS employee.			
		alleged a fourth Officer failed to report the employee misconduct.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor	Sustained					
			CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor	Not-Sustained					
			CP2 - Code of Conduct - Conformance to Established Laws	Not-Sustained					
				CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor	Sustained	Written Reprimand			
				CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor	Sustained				
				CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Sustained	Written Reprimand			
				CP2 - Code of Conduct - Individual Responsibility	Sustained	Previously Resigned/Retired			
				CF2 - Code of Colladat - Illulvidual Responsibility	Justanieu	Freviously Resigned/Retired			
IA2024-0176 04	4/22/2024	Internal Complaint	It was alleged an unknown employee failed to ensure a uniformed Deputy was present at the execution of a search warrant.	GJ3 - Search and Seizure	Unfounded		The investigation determined the allegation was false or not supported by fact.	Unfounded	10/23/202
									., ., .
	C/42/2024	Internal Complaint	It was alleged a Detention Sergeant creates a hostile work environment, acted unprofessionally towards a subordinate, and	CD3 Code of Conduct Constant Delationship with attentions	Not-Sustained		The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the Sergeant creates a hostile work environment and was	Not Sustains	d 10/23/202
A2024-0284 00	0/13/2024	internal complaint	retaliated against the employee by authoring a supervisor note regarding his conduct.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relationships with other Employees CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Unfounded		in investigation determined there was instituted revoluted to prove or displayed the segretar creates a institute who environment and was unprofessional towards a subordinate. The allegation that the Sergeant retailated against the employee was false or not supported by fact.	Not-sustaine	u 10/23/202
			retuined against the employee by authoring a supervisor note regulating its conduct.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relationships with other Employees	Not-Sustained		diplocational constant and appointment. The sine gation that the design at the employee was take or not supported by fact.		
				Cr 2 Code or conduct Employee helationships with other Employees	NOT SUSTAINED				
11 A2024-0528	1/22/2024	External Complaint	The complainant alleged that Deputies entered his home without a search warrant and detained him during a criminal	EA11 - Arrest procedures	Exonerated		The investigation determined that the alleged conduct occurred, but the actions of the employees were within Office policy, procedures, or training.	Exonerated	10/23/20
42024-0326 11	1/22/2024	External Complaint	investigation.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Exonerated		The investigation determined that the alleged conduct occurred, but the actions of the employees were within office pointy, procedures, or training.	Exonerated	10/23/20
			investigation.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Exonerated				
				CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Exonerated				
				CF2 - Code of Collabot - Failule to Weet Standards	Exonerated				
A2025-0102 03	3/18/2025	External Complaint	The complainant alleged that a Deputy was dismissive and failed to take appropriate action regarding a traffic complaint. It	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor	Not-Sustained	Coaching	The investigation determined the deputy violated policy by not activating his body-worn camera during the call for service. Therefore, there was	Sustained	10/23/202
			was further alleged internally that the Deputy failed to activate his Body-Worn Camera.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Not-Sustained		insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegations that he was dismissive and failed to take appropriate action.		., ==,===
				GJ35 - Body-Worn Cameras	Sustained				
12025-0138 04	4/03/2025	Internal Complaint	It was alleged that on 4/02/25 three Officers failed to make a reasonable decision when they passed unknown items	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Unfounded		The investigation determined a former Detention Officer violated policy for passing items, allowed inmates to pass items between cells, and horsed	Sustained	10/23/202
1202370130 04	-, 03/2023	cinai compiaint	between Closed Custody inmates and interacted with them. Additionally, two of the Officers failed to meet standards when		Unfounded		around with multiple inmates. The second Officer violated policy when he allowed inmates to pass items between cells and interacted with unsecured	Justanied	10/23/202
						Foreless Supposed of			
				CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Not-Sustained Sustained	Employee Suspended	inmates. It was determined that all allegations against the third Officer were not supported by the facts.		
			norseplaying with these inmates.		Sustained				
				CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards					
				CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Sustained	Previously Resigned/Retired			
				CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Not-Sustained				
				CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Sustained				
				CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Sustained				
A2025-0149 04	4/16/2025	Internal Complaint	It was alleged that on 02/06/2025 two Detention Officers failed to make reasonable decisions when they passed unknown	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Sustained	Previously Resigned/Retired	The investigation determined two Officers violated policy by interacting with unsecured Closed Custody inmates. One of the Officers further violated	Sustained	10/23/20
			items between Closed Custody inmates and interacted with unsecured inmates.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Sustained		policy by passing unknown items between those inmates. However, the allegation that the second Officer passed items was found false or not supporte	.d	
				CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Sustained	Written Reprimand	by fact.		
		CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Unfounded						
A2025-0154 04	4/16/2025	Internal Complaint	It was alleged that on 03/26/2025 that three Detention Officers failed to make a reasonable decision when they interacted		Sustained	Employee Suspended		Sustained	10/23/202
			with an unsecured Closed Custody inmate and improperly escorted an unsecured a Closed Custody inmate. It was also	DH7 - IntraFacility Inmate Movement	Sustained		policy violations.		
			alleged that two of the Officers failed to make a reasonable decision when the allowed Closed Custody inmates to pass item		Sustained				
			to one another. It's also alleged that on 04/02/2025 one of the Officers was horseplaying with these inmates.	CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Sustained				
				DH7 - IntraFacility Inmate Movement	Sustained	Previously Resigned/Retired			
				CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Sustained				
				CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Sustained				
				CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Sustained	Written Reprimand			
				CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards DH7 - IntraFacility Inmate Movement	Sustained Sustained	Written Reprimand			
A2025-0176 04	4/29/2025	External Complaint	The complainant alleged Denuties did not conduct a thorough investigation on a hit and run collision.	DH7 - IntraFacility Inmate Movement	Sustained	Written Reprimand	The investigation determined by clear and convincing evidence, that the allegations were false or not supported by fact	Unfounded	10/23/20
A2025-0176 04	4/29/2025	External Complaint	The complainant alleged Deputies did not conduct a thorough investigation on a hit and run collision.			Written Reprimand	The investigation determined by clear and convincing evidence, that the allegations were false or not supported by fact.	Unfounded	10/23/202
				DH7 - IntraFacility Inmate Movement CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Sustained Unfounded Unfounded	Written Reprimand			
			The complainant alleged Deputies did not conduct a thorough investigation on a hit and run collision. The complainant alleged a Deputy did not complete a proper investigation and included incorrect information in a report.	DH7 - IntraFacility Inmate Movement CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards GF5 - Incident Report Guidelines	Sustained Unfounded	Written Reprimand	The investigation determined by clear and convincing evidence, that the allegations were false or not supported by fact. The investigation determined by clear and convincing evidence, that the allegations were false or not supported by fact.	Unfounded	10/23/202
				DH7 - IntraFacility Inmate Movement CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Sustained Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded	Written Reprimand			
A2025-0238 05	5/30/2025		The complainant alleged a Deputy did not complete a proper investigation and included incorrect information in a report.	DH7 - IntraFacility Inmate Movement CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards GF5 - Incident Report Guidelines	Sustained Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded	Written Reprimand	The investigation determined by clear and convincing evidence, that the allegations were false or not supported by fact.	Unfounded	10/23/20
A2025-0238 05	5/30/2025	External Complaint		DH7 - IntraFacility inmate Movement CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards GF5 - Incident Report Guidelines CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Sustained Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded	Written Reprimand		Unfounded	
A2025-0238 05,	5/30/2025 8/14/2020	External Complaint External Complaint	The complainant alleged a Deputy did not complete a proper investigation and included incorrect information in a report. The complainant alleged that an unknown Detention Officer was restricting an inmate's privileges as retaliation for summitted inmate grievances.	DH7 - IntraFacility Inmate Movement CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards GF5 - Incident Report Guidelines CF2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP11 - Anti-Retallation	Sustained Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Not-Sustained	·	The investigation determined by clear and convincing evidence, that the allegations were false or not supported by fact. The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegation.	Unfounded Not-Sustainer	10/23/202 ed 10/24/202
A2025-0238 05, A2020-0422 08,	5/30/2025 8/14/2020	External Complaint	The complainant alleged a Deputy did not complete a proper investigation and included incorrect information in a report. The complainant alleged that an unknown Detention Officer was restricting an inmate's privileges as retailation for summitted inmate giverances. It was alleged that two Detention Officers on 02/05/2025 failed to make reasonable decisions when they interacted with an	DH7 - IntraFacility inmate Movement CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards GF5 - Incident Report Guidelines CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP11 - Anti-Retallation CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Sustained Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Sustained	Employee Suspended	The investigation determined by clear and convincing evidence, that the allegations were false or not supported by fact. The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegation. The investigation determined the allegations were supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of multiple	Unfounded	10/23/202 ed 10/24/202
A2025-0238 05, A2020-0422 08,	5/30/2025 8/14/2020	External Complaint External Complaint	The complainant alleged a Deputy did not complete a proper investigation and included incorrect information in a report. The complainant alleged that an unknown Detention Officer was restricting an inmate's privileges as retaliation for summitted inmate grievances.	DH7 - IntraFacility Inmate Movement CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards GF5 - Incident Report Guidelines CF2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP11 - Anti-Retallation	Sustained Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Not-Sustained	·	The investigation determined by clear and convincing evidence, that the allegations were false or not supported by fact. The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegation.	Unfounded Not-Sustainer	10/23/202 ed 10/24/202
A2025-0238 05, A2020-0422 08, A2025-0148 04,	8/14/2020 4/16/2025	External Complaint External Complaint Internal Complaint	The complainant alleged a Deputy did not complete a proper investigation and included incorrect information in a report. The complainant alleged that an unknown Detention Officer was restricting an immate's privileges as retailation for summitted immate girevances. It was alleged that two Detention Officers on 02/05/2025 failed to make reasonable decisions when they interacted with an unsecured Closed Custody immate.	DH7 - IntraFacility inmate Movement CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards GF5 - Incident Report Guidelines CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP11 - Anti-Retallation CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Sustained Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Sustained Sustained	Employee Suspended Previously Resigned/Retired	The investigation determined by clear and convincing evidence, that the allegations were false or not supported by fact. The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegation. The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegation. The investigation determined the allegations were supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of multiple policy violations.	Unfounded Not-Sustainer Sustained	10/23/20:
A2025-0238 05, A2020-0422 08, A2025-0148 04,	8/14/2020 4/16/2025	External Complaint External Complaint Internal Complaint	The complainant alleged a Deputy did not complete a proper investigation and included incorrect information in a report. The complainant alleged that an unknown Detention Officer was restricting an inmate's privileges as retaliation for summitted inmate grievances. It was alleged that two Detention Officers on 02/05/2025 failed to make reasonable decisions when they interacted with an unsecured Closed Custody inmate. It was alleged that on 02/07/2023 and 02/08/2025, two Detention Officers failed to make reasonable decision when they	DH7 - IntraFacility inmate Movement CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards GF3 - Incident Report Guidelines CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP1 - Anti-Retallation CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Sustained Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Not-Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained	Employee Suspended	The investigation determined by clear and convincing evidence, that the allegations were false or not supported by fact. The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegation. The investigation determined the allegations were supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of multiple policy violations. The investigation determined the allegations were supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of multiple policy violations.	Unfounded Not-Sustainer Sustained	10/23/20 ed 10/24/20 10/24/20
A2025-0238 05, A2020-0422 08, A2025-0148 04,	8/14/2020 4/16/2025	External Complaint External Complaint Internal Complaint	The complainant alleged a Deputy did not complete a proper investigation and included incorrect information in a report. The complainant alleged that an unknown Detention Officer was restricting an immate's privileges as retaliation for summitted immate grievances. It was alleged that two Detention Officers on 02/05/2025 failed to make reasonable decisions when they interacted with an unsecured Closed Custody immate. It was alleged that on 02/07/2025 and 02/06/2025, two Detention Officers failed to make reasonable decision when they passed unknown items between Closed Custody immates and interacted with an unsecured Closed Custody immate. It was	DH7 - IntraFacility Inmate Movement CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards GF5 - Incident Report Guidelines CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP1 - Anti-Retallation CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Sustained Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Not-Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained	Employee Suspended Previously Resigned/Retired Employee Suspended	The investigation determined by clear and convincing evidence, that the allegations were false or not supported by fact. The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegation. The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegation. The investigation determined the allegations were supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of multiple policy violations.	Unfounded Not-Sustainer Sustained	10/23/20 ed 10/24/20 10/24/20
A2025-0238 05, A2020-0422 08, A2025-0148 04,	8/14/2020 4/16/2025	External Complaint External Complaint Internal Complaint	The complainant alleged a Deputy did not complete a proper investigation and included incorrect information in a report. The complainant alleged that an unknown Detention Officer was restricting an inmate's privileges as retaliation for summitted inmate grievances. It was alleged that two Detention Officers on 02/05/2025 failed to make reasonable decisions when they interacted with an unsecured Closed Custody inmate. It was alleged that on 02/07/2023 and 02/08/2025, two Detention Officers failed to make reasonable decision when they	DH7 - IntraFacility inmate Movement CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards GF3 - Incident Report Guidelines CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP1 - Anti-Retailation CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Sustained Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Not-Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained	Employee Suspended Previously Resigned/Retired	The investigation determined by clear and convincing evidence, that the allegations were false or not supported by fact. The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegation. The investigation determined the allegations were supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of multiple policy violations. The investigation determined the allegations were supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of multiple policy violations.	Unfounded Not-Sustainer Sustained	10/23/20 ed 10/24/20 10/24/20
A2025-0238 05, A2020-0422 08, A2025-0148 04,	8/14/2020 4/16/2025	External Complaint External Complaint Internal Complaint	The complainant alleged a Deputy did not complete a proper investigation and included incorrect information in a report. The complainant alleged that an unknown Detention Officer was restricting an immate's privileges as retaliation for summitted immate grievances. It was alleged that two Detention Officers on 02/05/2025 failed to make reasonable decisions when they interacted with an unsecured Closed Custody immate. It was alleged that on 02/07/2025 and 02/06/2025, two Detention Officers failed to make reasonable decision when they passed unknown items between Closed Custody immates and interacted with an unsecured Closed Custody immate. It was	DH7 - IntraFacility Inmate Movement CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards GF5 - Incident Report Guidelines CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP1 - Anti-Retallation CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure Meet Standards	Sustained Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained	Employee Suspended Previously Resigned/Retired Employee Suspended	The investigation determined by clear and convincing evidence, that the allegations were false or not supported by fact. The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegation. The investigation determined the allegations were supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of multiple policy violations. The investigation determined the allegations were supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of multiple policy violations.	Unfounded Not-Sustainer Sustained	10/23/20:
A2025-0238 05, A2020-0422 08, A2025-0148 04,	8/14/2020 4/16/2025	External Complaint External Complaint Internal Complaint	The complainant alleged a Deputy did not complete a proper investigation and included incorrect information in a report. The complainant alleged that an unknown Detention Officer was restricting an immate's privileges as retaliation for summitted immate grievances. It was alleged that two Detention Officers on 02/05/2025 failed to make reasonable decisions when they interacted with an unsecured Closed Custody immate. It was alleged that on 02/07/2025 and 02/06/2025, two Detention Officers failed to make reasonable decision when they passed unknown items between Closed Custody immates and interacted with an unsecured Closed Custody immate. It was	DH7 - IntraFacility inmate Movement CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards GF3 - Incident Report Guidelines CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP1 - Anti-Retailation CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Sustained Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Not-Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained	Employee Suspended Previously Resigned/Retired Employee Suspended	The investigation determined by clear and convincing evidence, that the allegations were false or not supported by fact. The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegation. The investigation determined the allegations were supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of multiple policy violations. The investigation determined the allegations were supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of multiple policy violations.	Unfounded Not-Sustainer Sustained	10/23/20:
A2025-0238 05, A2020-0422 08, A2025-0148 04, A2025-0150 04,	8/14/2020 4/16/2025	External Complaint External Complaint Internal Complaint Internal Complaint	The complainant alleged a Deputy did not complete a proper investigation and included incorrect information in a report. The complainant alleged that an unknown Detention Officer was restricting an inmate's privileges as retaliation for summitted inmate grievance. It was alleged that two Detention Officers on 02/05/2025 failed to make reasonable decisions when they interacted with an unsecured Closed Custody inmate. It was alleged that no 02/07/2025 and 02/08/2025, two Detention Officers failed to make reasonable decisions when they proceed unknown interacted with an unsecured Closed Custody inmate and interacted with an unsecured Closed Custody inmate. It was also alleged on 02/08/2025 one of the Officers sat in the dayroom of a cell in SMU for an hour.	DH7 - IntraFacility Inmate Movement CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards GP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards GF3 - Incident Report Guidelines CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP11 - Anti-Retallation CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Sustained Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained	Employee Suspended Previously Resigned/Retired Employee Suspended	The investigation determined by clear and convincing evidence, that the allegations were false or not supported by fact. The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegation. The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegation. The investigation determined the allegations were supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of multiple policy violations. The investigation determined the allegations were supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of multiple policy violations.	Unfounded Not-Sustained Sustained Sustained	10/23/20: ed 10/24/20: 10/24/20:
A2025-0238 05, A2020-0422 08, A2025-0148 04, A2025-0150 04,	8/14/2020 4/16/2025	External Complaint External Complaint Internal Complaint Internal Complaint	The complainant alleged a Deputy did not complete a proper investigation and included incorrect information in a report. The complainant alleged that an unknown Detention Officer was restricting an immate's privileges as retaliation for summitted immate grievances. It was alleged that two Detention Officers on 02/05/2025 failed to make reasonable decisions when they interacted with an unsecured Closed Custody immate. It was alleged that on 02/07/2025 and 02/06/2025, two Detention Officers failed to make reasonable decision when they passed unknown items between Closed Custody immates and interacted with an unsecured Closed Custody immate. It was	DRT - IntraFacility inmate Movement CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards GP3 - Incident Report Guidelines GP3 - Incident Report Guidelines CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP1 - Anti-Retaliation CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP4 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP4 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP4 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP4 - Code of Conduct - Performance or Develiction of Duty CP3 - Code of Conduct - Performance or Develiction of Duty	Sustained Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Sustained	Employee Suspended Previously Resigned/Retired Employee Suspended	The investigation determined by clear and convincing evidence, that the allegations were false or not supported by fact. The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegation. The investigation determined the allegations were supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of multiple policy violations. The investigation determined the allegations were supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of multiple policy violations.	Unfounded Not-Sustained Sustained Sustained	10/23/20: ed 10/24/20: 10/24/20:
A2025-0238 05, A2020-0422 08, A2025-0148 04, A2025-0150 04,	8/14/2020 4/16/2025	External Complaint External Complaint Internal Complaint Internal Complaint	The complainant alleged a Deputy did not complete a proper investigation and included incorrect information in a report. The complainant alleged that an unknown Detention Officer was restricting an inmate's privileges as retaliation for summitted inmate grievance. It was alleged that two Detention Officers on 02/05/2025 failed to make reasonable decisions when they interacted with an unsecured Closed Custody inmate. It was alleged that no 02/07/2025 and 02/08/2025, two Detention Officers failed to make reasonable decisions when they proceed unknown interacted with an unsecured Closed Custody inmate and interacted with an unsecured Closed Custody inmate. It was also alleged on 02/08/2025 one of the Officers sat in the dayroom of a cell in SMU for an hour.	DH7 - IntraFacility Inmate Movement CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards GP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards GF5 - Incident Report Guidelines CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP1 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP1 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Tellure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Tellure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Tellure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Tellure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Tellure to Meet Standards CP4 - Code of Conduct - Tellure to Meet Standards CP4 - Code of Conduct - Tellure to Meet Standards CP4 - Code of Conduct - Tellure to Meet Standards CP4 - Code of Conduct - Tellure to Meet Standards CP4 - Code of Conduct - Tellure to Meet Standards CP4 - Code of Conduct - Tellure to Meet Standards CP4 - Code of Conduct - Tellure to Meet Standards CP5 - Code of Conduct - Tellure to Meet Standards CP5 - Code of Conduct - Tellure to Meet Standards CP5 - Code of Conduct - Tellure to Meet Standards CP5 - Code of Conduct - Tellure to Meet Standards CP5 - Code of Conduct - Tellure to Meet Standards CP5 - Code of Conduct - Tellure to Meet Standards CP5 - Code of Conduct - Tellure to Meet Standards CP5 - Code of Conduct - Tellure to Meet Standards CP6 - CP6 - CP6 - CP6 - CP6	Sustained Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Not-Sustained Sustained	Employee Suspended Previously Resigned/Retired Employee Suspended	The investigation determined by clear and convincing evidence, that the allegations were false or not supported by fact. The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegation. The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegation. The investigation determined the allegations were supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of multiple policy violations. The investigation determined the allegations were supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of multiple policy violations.	Unfounded Not-Sustained Sustained Sustained	10/23/20 ed 10/24/20 10/24/20
A2025-0238 05, A2020-0422 08, A2025-0148 04, A2025-0150 04,	8/14/2020 4/16/2025	External Complaint External Complaint Internal Complaint Internal Complaint	The complainant alleged a Deputy did not complete a proper investigation and included incorrect information in a report. The complainant alleged that an unknown Detention Officer was restricting an inmate's privileges as retaliation for summitted inmate grievance. It was alleged that two Detention Officers on 02/05/2025 failed to make reasonable decisions when they interacted with an unsecured Closed Custody inmate. It was alleged that no 02/07/2025 and 02/08/2025, two Detention Officers failed to make reasonable decisions when they proceed unknown interacted with an unsecured Closed Custody inmate and interacted with an unsecured Closed Custody inmate. It was also alleged on 02/08/2025 one of the Officers sat in the dayroom of a cell in SMU for an hour.	DRT - IntraFacility inmate Movement CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards GP3 - Incident Report Guidelines GP3 - Incident Report Guidelines CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP1 - Anti-Retaliation CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP4 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP4 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP4 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP4 - Code of Conduct - Performance or Develiction of Duty CP3 - Code of Conduct - Performance or Develiction of Duty	Sustained Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Sustained	Employee Suspended Previously Resigned/Retired Employee Suspended	The investigation determined by clear and convincing evidence, that the allegations were false or not supported by fact. The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegation. The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegation. The investigation determined the allegations were supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of multiple policy violations. The investigation determined the allegations were supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of multiple policy violations.	Unfounded Not-Sustained Sustained Sustained	10/23/20: ed 10/24/20: 10/24/20:
A2025-0238 05, A2020-0422 08, A2025-0148 04, A2025-0150 04,	8/14/2020 4/16/2025	External Complaint External Complaint Internal Complaint Internal Complaint	The complainant alleged a Deputy did not complete a proper investigation and included incorrect information in a report. The complainant alleged that an unknown Detention Officer was restricting an inmate's privileges as retaliation for summitted inmate grievance. It was alleged that two Detention Officers on 02/05/2025 failed to make reasonable decisions when they interacted with an unsecured Closed Custody inmate. It was alleged that no 02/07/2025 and 02/08/2025, two Detention Officers failed to make reasonable decisions when they proceed unknown interacted with an unsecured Closed Custody inmate and interacted with an unsecured Closed Custody inmate. It was also alleged on 02/08/2025 one of the Officers sat in the dayroom of a cell in SMU for an hour.	DH7 - IntraFacility Inmate Movement CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards GP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards GF5 - Incident Report Guidelines CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP1 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP1 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Tellure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Tellure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Tellure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Tellure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Tellure to Meet Standards CP4 - Code of Conduct - Tellure to Meet Standards CP4 - Code of Conduct - Tellure to Meet Standards CP4 - Code of Conduct - Tellure to Meet Standards CP4 - Code of Conduct - Tellure to Meet Standards CP4 - Code of Conduct - Tellure to Meet Standards CP4 - Code of Conduct - Tellure to Meet Standards CP4 - Code of Conduct - Tellure to Meet Standards CP5 - Code of Conduct - Tellure to Meet Standards CP5 - Code of Conduct - Tellure to Meet Standards CP5 - Code of Conduct - Tellure to Meet Standards CP5 - Code of Conduct - Tellure to Meet Standards CP5 - Code of Conduct - Tellure to Meet Standards CP5 - Code of Conduct - Tellure to Meet Standards CP5 - Code of Conduct - Tellure to Meet Standards CP5 - Code of Conduct - Tellure to Meet Standards CP6 - CP6 - CP6 - CP6 - CP6	Sustained Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Not-Sustained Sustained	Employee Suspended Previously Resigned/Retired Employee Suspended	The investigation determined by clear and convincing evidence, that the allegations were false or not supported by fact. The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegation. The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegation. The investigation determined the allegations were supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of multiple policy violations. The investigation determined the allegations were supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of multiple policy violations.	Unfounded Not-Sustained Sustained Sustained	10/23/20: ed 10/24/20: 10/24/20:
\text{\tinit}}\\ \text{\texicr{\text{\texicr{\text{\texicr{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\texiclex{\text{\text{\texiclex{\text{\texiclex{\text{\texiclex{\text{\texiclex{\texiclex{\texicr{\tert{\terict{\tin}\tert{\tiint{\texitilex{\tiint{\terict{\terict{\terict{\tiint{\tert{\t	5/30/2025 8/14/2020 4/16/2025 4/16/2025 5/23/2025	External Complaint External Complaint Internal Complaint Internal Complaint External Complaint	The complainant alleged a Deputy did not complete a proper investigation and included incorrect information in a report. The complainant alleged that an unknown Detention Officer was restricting an inmate's privileges as retaliation for summitted inmate grievances. It was alleged that two Detention Officers on 02/05/2025 failed to make reasonable decisions when they interacted with an unsecured Glosed Custody inmate. It was alleged that no 02/07/2025 and 02/08/2025, two Detention Officers failed to make reasonable decisions when they passed unknown items between Closed Custody inmates and interacted with an unsecured Glosed Custody inmate. It was alleged on 02/08/2025 one of the Officers sat in the dayroom of a cell in SMU for an hour. The complainant alleged several Detention Officers were rude when dealing with a member of the public.	DRT - IntraFacility inmate Movement CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards GP3 - Incident Report Guidelines GP3 - Incident Report Guidelines CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP1 - Anti-Retallation CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Performance or Dereliction of Duty CP3 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP3 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP3 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP3 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor	Sustained Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Sustained Not-Sustained Not-Sustained	Employee Suspended Previously Resigned/Retired Employee Suspended Previously Resigned/Retired	The investigation determined by clear and convincing evidence, that the allegations were false or not supported by fact. The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegation. The investigation determined the allegations were supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of multiple policy violations. The investigation determined the allegations were supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of multiple policy violations. The investigation determined the allegations were supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of multiple policy violations.	Unfounded Not-Sustained Sustained Sustained Not-Sustained	10/23/20: rd 10/24/20: 10/24/20: 10/24/20: rd 10/24/20:
\text{\tinit}}\\ \text{\texicr{\text{\texicr{\text{\texicr{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\texiclex{\text{\text{\texiclex{\text{\texiclex{\text{\texiclex{\text{\texiclex{\texiclex{\texicr{\tert{\terict{\tin}\tert{\tiint{\texitilex{\tiint{\terict{\terict{\terict{\tiint{\tert{\t	5/30/2025 8/14/2020 4/16/2025 4/16/2025 5/23/2025	External Complaint External Complaint Internal Complaint Internal Complaint External Complaint	The complainant alleged a Deputy did not complete a proper investigation and included incorrect information in a report. The complainant alleged that an unknown Detention Officer was restricting an inmate's privileges as retaliation for summitted inmate grievance. It was alleged that two Detention Officers on 02/05/2025 failed to make reasonable decisions when they interacted with an unsecured Closed Custody inmate. It was alleged that no 02/07/2025 and 02/08/2025, two Detention Officers failed to make reasonable decisions when they proceed unknown interacted with an unsecured Closed Custody inmate and interacted with an unsecured Closed Custody inmate. It was also alleged on 02/08/2025 one of the Officers sat in the dayroom of a cell in SMU for an hour.	DH7 - IntraFacility inmate Movement CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards GP3 - Incident Report Guidelines GP3 - Incident Report Guidelines CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP1 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Poilure To Pailure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Poilure To Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Poilure To Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Poilure To Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Meet Standards CP2 - CODE of CP2 - CODE of CP2 - CODE of CP2 - CODE of CP2 - CP2 - CP2 - CP2 - CP2 - CP2 -	Sustained Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Sustained	Employee Suspended Previously Resigned/Retired Employee Suspended	The investigation determined by clear and convincing evidence, that the allegations were false or not supported by fact. The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegation. The investigation determined the allegations were supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of multiple policy violations. The investigation determined the allegations were supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of multiple policy violations. The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegations. The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegations.	Unfounded Not-Sustained Sustained Sustained Not-Sustained	10/23/20 rd 10/24/20 10/24/20 10/24/20
22025-0238 05 22020-0422 08 22025-0148 04 22025-0150 04 22025-0213 05	5/30/2025 8/14/2020 4/16/2025 4/16/2025 5/23/2025	External Complaint External Complaint Internal Complaint Internal Complaint External Complaint	The complainant alleged a Deputy did not complete a proper investigation and included incorrect information in a report. The complainant alleged that an unknown Detention Officer was restricting an inmate's privileges as retaliation for summitted inmate grievances. It was alleged that two Detention Officers on 02/05/2025 failed to make reasonable decisions when they interacted with an unsecured Glosed Custody inmate. It was alleged that no 02/07/2025 and 02/08/2025, two Detention Officers failed to make reasonable decisions when they passed unknown items between Closed Custody inmates and interacted with an unsecured Glosed Custody inmate. It was alleged on 02/08/2025 one of the Officers sat in the dayroom of a cell in SMU for an hour. The complainant alleged several Detention Officers were rude when dealing with a member of the public.	DRT - IntraFacility inmate Movement CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards GP3 - Incident Report Guidelines GP3 - Incident Report Guidelines CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP1 - Anti-Retallation CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Performance or Dereliction of Duty CP3 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP3 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP3 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP3 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor	Sustained Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Sustained Not-Sustained Not-Sustained	Employee Suspended Previously Resigned/Retired Employee Suspended Previously Resigned/Retired	The investigation determined by clear and convincing evidence, that the allegations were false or not supported by fact. The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegation. The investigation determined the allegations were supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of multiple policy violations. The investigation determined the allegations were supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of multiple policy violations. The investigation determined the allegations were supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of multiple policy violations.	Unfounded Not-Sustained Sustained Sustained Not-Sustained	10/23/20 rd 10/24/20 10/24/20 10/24/20
22025-0238 05 22020-0422 08 22025-0148 04 22025-0150 04 22025-0231 05	8/14/2020 8/14/2020 4/16/2025 4/16/2025 5/23/2025 5/29/2025	External Complaint External Complaint Internal Complaint Internal Complaint Internal Complaint External Complaint	The complainant alleged a Deputy did not complete a proper investigation and included incorrect information in a report. The complainant alleged that an unknown Detention Officer was restricting an inmate's privileges as retailation for summitted inmate grievance. It was alleged that two Detention Officers on 02/05/2025 failed to make reasonable decisions when they interacted with an unsecured Closed Custody inmate. It was alleged that two D2/07/2025 and 02/08/2025, two Detention Officers failed to make reasonable decisions when they passed unknown terms between Closed Custody inmates and interacted with an unsecured Closed Custody inmate. It was alleged on 02/08/2025 one of the Officers sail in the dayroom of a cell in SMU for an hour. The complainant alleged several Detention Officers were rude when dealing with a member of the public. It was alleged a Detention Officer slept while on duty and failed to properly complete job assignments.	DRT - IntraFacility inmate Movement CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards GP3 - Incident Report Guidelines CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards GP3 - Incident Report Guidelines CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP1 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Tenderoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Selepting Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Selepting Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Selepting Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Selepting Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Selepting Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Selepting Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Selepting Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Selepting Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Selepting Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Selepting Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Selepting Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Selepting Conduct and Public Demeanor	Sustained Unfounded Unfoun	Employee Suspended Previously Resigned/Retired Employee Suspended Previously Resigned/Retired	The investigation determined by clear and convincing evidence, that the allegations were false or not supported by fact. The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegation. The investigation determined the allegations were supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of multiple policy violations. The investigation determined the allegations were supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of multiple policy violations. The investigation determined the allegations were supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of multiple policy violations. The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegations.	Unfounded Not-Sustained Sustained Sustained Not-Sustained	10/23/20 10/24/20 10/24/20 10/24/20 10/24/20
A2025-0238 05 A2020-0422 08 A2025-0148 04 A2025-0150 04 A2025-0213 05 A2025-0234 05	8/14/2020 8/14/2020 4/16/2025 4/16/2025 5/23/2025 5/29/2025	External Complaint External Complaint Internal Complaint Internal Complaint External Complaint	The complainant alleged a Deputy did not complete a proper investigation and included incorrect information in a report. The complainant alleged that an unknown Detention Officer was restricting an inmate's privileges as retaliation for summitted inmate grevance. It was alleged that two Detention Officers on 02/05/2025 failed to make reasonable decisions when they interacted with an unsecured Closed Custody inmate. It was alleged that on 02/07/2023 and 02/05/2025, two Detention Officers failed to make reasonable decision when they interacted with an unsecured Closed Custody inmate. It was alleged an oz/05/2025 one of the Officers and inferanced with an unsecured Closed Custody inmate. It was also alleged on 02/05/2025 one of the Officers sat in the dayroom of a cell in SMU for an hour. The complainant alleged several Detention Officers were rude when dealing with a member of the public. It was alleged a Detention Officer slept while on duty and failed to properly complete job assignments.	DRT - Intrafacility inmate Movement CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards GP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards GP3 - Incident Report Guidelines GP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP1 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP1 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP4 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP3 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Sustained Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Sustained Not-Sustained Not-Sustained Not-Sustained Unfounded	Employee Suspended Previously Resigned/Retired Employee Suspended Previously Resigned/Retired	The investigation determined by clear and convincing evidence, that the allegations were false or not supported by fact. The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegation. The investigation determined the allegations were supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of multiple policy violations. The investigation determined the allegations were supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of multiple policy violations. The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegations. The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of multiple policy violations. The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of multiple policy violations. The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of multiple policy violations.	Unfounded Not-Sustained Sustained Sustained Not-Sustained	10/23/20 10/24/20 10/24/20 10/24/20 10/24/20
22025-0238 05 22020-0422 08 22025-0148 04 22025-0150 04 22025-0231 05	8/14/2020 8/14/2020 4/16/2025 4/16/2025 5/23/2025 5/29/2025	External Complaint External Complaint Internal Complaint Internal Complaint Internal Complaint External Complaint	The complainant alleged that an unknown Detention Officer was restricting an inmate's privileges as retailation for summitted immate grievance. It was alleged that two Detention Officers on 02/05/2025 failed to make reasonable decisions when they interacted with an unsecured Closed Custody immate. It was alleged that two Detention Officers on 02/05/2025 failed to make reasonable decisions when they interacted with an unsecured Closed Custody immate. It was alleged that two Detention Officers on 02/05/2025, two Detention Officers failed to make reasonable decisions when they passed unknown terms between Closed Custody immates and interacted with an unsecured Closed Custody immate. It was alleged on 02/08/2025 one of the Officers sat in the dayroom of a cell in SMU for an hour. The complainant alleged several Detention Officers were rude when dealing with a member of the public. It was alleged a Detention Officer slept while on duty and failed to properly complete job assignments. The complainant alleged that a Deputy exhibited unprofessional behavior and failed to take appropriate action during a call for service. It was alleged that the Deputy was not being sympathetic and took inappropriate actions during a call	DRT - IntraFacility inmate Movement CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards GP3 - Incident Report Guidelines CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards GP3 - Incident Report Guidelines CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP1 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Pailure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Pailure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Pailure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Pailure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP3 - Code of Conduct - See Death Conduct - Pailure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - See Death Conduct - Pailure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - See Death Conduct - Pailure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - See Death Conduct - Pailure to Meet Standards	Sustained Unfounded Unstained Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded	Employee Suspended Previously Resigned/Retired Employee Suspended Previously Resigned/Retired	The investigation determined by clear and convincing evidence, that the allegations were false or not supported by fact. The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegation. The investigation determined the allegations were supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of multiple policy violations. The investigation determined the allegations were supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of multiple policy violations. The investigation determined the allegations were supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of multiple policy violations. The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegations.	Unfounded Not-Sustained Sustained Sustained Not-Sustained	10/23/26 d 10/24/26 10/24/26 10/24/26 d 10/24/26
22025-0238 05 22020-0422 08 22025-0148 04 22025-0150 04 22025-0231 05	8/14/2020 8/14/2020 4/16/2025 4/16/2025 5/23/2025 5/29/2025	External Complaint External Complaint Internal Complaint Internal Complaint Internal Complaint External Complaint	The complainant alleged a Deputy did not complete a proper investigation and included incorrect information in a report. The complainant alleged that an unknown Detention Officer was restricting an inmate's privileges as retailation for summitted inmate grevance. It was alleged that two Detention Officers on 02/05/2025 failed to make reasonable decisions when they interacted with an unknown of the property of the public. It was alleged an 02/07/2025 one of the Officers sait in the dayroom of a cell in SMU for an hour. The complainant alleged several Detention Officers were rude when dealing with a member of the public. It was alleged a Detention Officer siept while on duty and failed to properly complete job assignments. The complainant alleged that a Deputy exhibited unprofessional behavior and failed to take appropriate action during a call for service. It was also alleged that the Deputy was not being sympathies and took inappropriate actions during the call for service. It was also alleged that the Deputy was not being sympathies and took inappropriate actions during the call for service.	DR7 - IntraFacility inmate Movement CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards GP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards GP3 - Incident Report Guidelines GP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP1 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP3 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Sustained Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Sustained Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded	Employee Suspended Previously Resigned/Retired Employee Suspended Previously Resigned/Retired	The investigation determined by clear and convincing evidence, that the allegations were false or not supported by fact. The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegation. The investigation determined the allegations were supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of multiple policy violations. The investigation determined the allegations were supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of multiple policy violations. The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegations. The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of multiple policy violations. The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of multiple policy violations. The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of multiple policy violations.	Unfounded Not-Sustained Sustained Sustained Not-Sustained	10/23/26 d 10/24/26 10/24/26 10/24/26 d 10/24/26
A2025-0238 05 A2020-0422 08 A2025-0148 04 A2025-0150 04 A2025-0213 05 A2025-0234 05	8/14/2020 8/14/2020 4/16/2025 4/16/2025 5/23/2025 5/29/2025	External Complaint External Complaint Internal Complaint Internal Complaint Internal Complaint External Complaint	The complainant alleged that an unknown Detention Officer was restricting an inmate's privileges as retailation for summitted immate grievance. It was alleged that two Detention Officers on 02/05/2025 failed to make reasonable decisions when they interacted with an unsecured Closed Custody immate. It was alleged that two Detention Officers on 02/05/2025 failed to make reasonable decisions when they interacted with an unsecured Closed Custody immate. It was alleged that two Detention Officers on 02/05/2025, two Detention Officers failed to make reasonable decisions when they passed unknown terms between Closed Custody immates and interacted with an unsecured Closed Custody immate. It was alleged on 02/08/2025 one of the Officers sat in the dayroom of a cell in SMU for an hour. The complainant alleged several Detention Officers were rude when dealing with a member of the public. It was alleged a Detention Officer slept while on duty and failed to properly complete job assignments. The complainant alleged that a Deputy exhibited unprofessional behavior and failed to take appropriate action during a call for service. It was alleged that the Deputy was not being sympathetic and took inappropriate actions during a call	DRT - IntraFacility inmate Movement CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards GP3 - Incident Report Guidelines GP3 - Incident Report Guidelines CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP1 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP1 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Tenderoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Seigning Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Seigning Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure Meet Standards	Sustained Unfounded	Employee Suspended Previously Resigned/Retired Employee Suspended Previously Resigned/Retired	The investigation determined by clear and convincing evidence, that the allegations were false or not supported by fact. The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegation. The investigation determined the allegations were supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of multiple policy violations. The investigation determined the allegations were supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of multiple policy violations. The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegations. The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of multiple policy violations. The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of multiple policy violations. The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of multiple policy violations.	Unfounded Not-Sustained Sustained Sustained Not-Sustained	10/23/20 10/24/20 10/24/20 10/24/20 10/24/20
22025-0238 05 22020-0422 08 22025-0148 04 22025-0150 04 22025-0231 05	8/14/2020 8/14/2020 4/16/2025 4/16/2025 5/23/2025 5/29/2025	External Complaint External Complaint Internal Complaint Internal Complaint Internal Complaint External Complaint	The complainant alleged a Deputy did not complete a proper investigation and included incorrect information in a report. The complainant alleged that an unknown Detention Officer was restricting an inmate's privileges as retailation for summitted inmate grevance. It was alleged that two Detention Officers on 02/05/2025 failed to make reasonable decisions when they interacted with an unknown of the property of the public. It was alleged an 02/07/2025 one of the Officers sait in the dayroom of a cell in SMU for an hour. The complainant alleged several Detention Officers were rude when dealing with a member of the public. It was alleged a Detention Officer siept while on duty and failed to properly complete job assignments. The complainant alleged that a Deputy exhibited unprofessional behavior and failed to take appropriate action during a call for service. It was also alleged that the Deputy was not being sympathies and took inappropriate actions during the call for service. It was also alleged that the Deputy was not being sympathies and took inappropriate actions during the call for service.	DR7 - IntraFacility inmate Movement CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards GP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards GP3 - Incident Report Guidelines GP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP1 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP3 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Sustained Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Sustained Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded	Employee Suspended Previously Resigned/Retired Employee Suspended Previously Resigned/Retired	The investigation determined by clear and convincing evidence, that the allegations were false or not supported by fact. The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegation. The investigation determined the allegations were supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of multiple policy violations. The investigation determined the allegations were supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of multiple policy violations. The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegations. The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of multiple policy violations. The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of multiple policy violations. The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of multiple policy violations.	Unfounded Not-Sustained Sustained Sustained Not-Sustained	10/23/20 10/24/20 10/24/20 10/24/20 10/24/20
A2025-0238 05 A2020-0422 08 A2020-0422 08 A2025-0148 04 A2025-0150 04 A2025-0213 05 A2025-0234 05 A2025-0234 05	5/30/2025 8/14/2020 4/16/2025 4/16/2025 5/23/2025 5/29/2025 5/11/2022	External Complaint External Complaint Internal Complaint Internal Complaint Internal Complaint External Complaint External Complaint	The complainant alleged that an unknown Detention Officer was restricting an inmate's privileges as retaliation for summitted immate grievances. It was alleged that two Detention Officers on 02/05/2025 failed to make reasonable decisions when they interacted with an unsecured Closed custody inmate. It was alleged that two Detention Officers on 02/05/2025 failed to make reasonable decisions when they interacted with an unsecured Closed custody inmate. It was alleged that on 02/07/2025 and 02/08/2025, two Detention Officers failed to make reasonable decision when they passed unknown Items between Closed Custody inmates and interacted with an unsecured Closed Custody inmate. It was alleged on 02/08/2025 one of the Officers size in the dayroom of a cell in SMU for an hour. The complainant alleged several Detention Officers were rude when dealing with a member of the public. It was alleged a Detention Officer sizept while on duty and failed to properly complete job assignments. The complainant alleged that a Deputy exhibited unprofessional behavior and failed to take appropriate action during a call for service. It was alleged that the Deputy exhibited unprofessional behavior and failed to take appropriate actions during a call for service. It was alleged that the Deputy was not being sympathetic and took inappropriate actions during a call for service due to the complainant's race. It was further internally alleged that the Deputy failed to activate his body-worn camera in a timely manner.	DRT - IntraFacility Inmate Movement CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards GP3 - Incident Report Guidelines GP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards GP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP1 - Anti-Retailation CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Pailure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Tender on Develicition of Duty CP2 - Code of Conduct - Tender Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Tender Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Tender Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Tender Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Tender Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Tender Standards	Sustained Unfounded Not-Sustained Not-Sustained	Employee Suspended Previously Resigned/Retired Employee Suspended Previously Resigned/Retired	The investigation determined by clear and convincing evidence, that the allegations were false or not supported by fact. The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegation. The investigation determined the allegations were supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of multiple policy violations. The investigation determined the allegations were supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of multiple policy violations. The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegations. The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of multiple policy violations. The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegation that the Deputy failed to activate his body-worn camer in a timely manner. The remaining allegations were found to be false or not supported by fact.	Unfounded Not-Sustained Sustained Sustained Not-Sustained	10/23/20 10/24/20 10/24/20 10/24/20 10/24/20 10/24/20 10/24/20
A2025-0238 05 A2020-0422 08 A2020-0422 08 A2025-0148 04 A2025-0150 04 A2025-0234 05 A2025-0234 05 A2025-0234 05	5/30/2025 8/14/2020 4/16/2025 4/16/2025 5/23/2025 5/29/2025 5/11/2022	External Complaint External Complaint Internal Complaint Internal Complaint Internal Complaint External Complaint External Complaint	The complainant alleged a Deputy did not complete a proper investigation and included incorrect information in a report. The complainant alleged that an unknown Detention Officer was restricting an inmate's privileges as retailation for summitted inmate grevance. It was alleged that two Detention Officers on 02/05/2025 failed to make reasonable decisions when they interacted with an unknown of the property of the public. It was alleged an 02/07/2025 one of the Officers sait in the dayroom of a cell in SMU for an hour. The complainant alleged several Detention Officers were rude when dealing with a member of the public. It was alleged a Detention Officer siept while on duty and failed to properly complete job assignments. The complainant alleged that a Deputy exhibited unprofessional behavior and failed to take appropriate action during a call for service. It was also alleged that the Deputy was not being sympathies and took inappropriate actions during the call for service. It was also alleged that the Deputy was not being sympathies and took inappropriate actions during the call for service.	DRT - IntraFacility inmate Movement CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards GP3 - Incident Report Guidelines GP3 - Incident Report Guidelines CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP1 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP1 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Tenderoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Seigning Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Seigning Conduct and Public Demeanor CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure Meet Standards	Sustained Unfounded	Employee Suspended Previously Resigned/Retired Employee Suspended Previously Resigned/Retired	The investigation determined by clear and convincing evidence, that the allegations were false or not supported by fact. The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegation. The investigation determined the allegations were supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of multiple policy violations. The investigation determined the allegations were supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of multiple policy violations. The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegations. The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of multiple policy violations. The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of multiple policy violations. The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of multiple policy violations.	Unfounded Not-Sustained Sustained Sustained Not-Sustained	10/23/202 10/24/202 10/24/202 10/24/202 10/24/202
A2025-0238 05 A2020-0422 08 A2020-0422 08 A2025-0148 04 A2025-0150 04 A2025-0213 05 A2025-0234 05 A2025-0234 05	5/30/2025 8/14/2020 4/16/2025 4/16/2025 5/23/2025 5/29/2025 5/11/2022	External Complaint External Complaint Internal Complaint Internal Complaint Internal Complaint External Complaint External Complaint	The complainant alleged that an unknown Detention Officer was restricting an inmate's privileges as retaliation for summitted immate grievances. It was alleged that two Detention Officers on 02/05/2025 failed to make reasonable decisions when they interacted with an unsecured Closed custody inmate. It was alleged that two Detention Officers on 02/05/2025 failed to make reasonable decisions when they interacted with an unsecured Closed custody inmate. It was alleged that on 02/07/2025 and 02/08/2025, two Detention Officers failed to make reasonable decision when they passed unknown Items between Closed Custody inmates and interacted with an unsecured Closed Custody inmate. It was alleged on 02/08/2025 one of the Officers size in the dayroom of a cell in SMU for an hour. The complainant alleged several Detention Officers were rude when dealing with a member of the public. It was alleged a Detention Officer sizept while on duty and failed to properly complete job assignments. The complainant alleged that a Deputy exhibited unprofessional behavior and failed to take appropriate action during a call for service. It was alleged that the Deputy exhibited unprofessional behavior and failed to take appropriate actions during a call for service. It was alleged that the Deputy was not being sympathetic and took inappropriate actions during a call for service due to the complainant's race. It was further internally alleged that the Deputy failed to activate his body-worn camera in a timely manner.	DRT - IntraFacility Inmate Movement CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards GP3 - Incident Report Guidelines GP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards GP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP1 - Anti-Retailation CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Pailure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Tender on Develicition of Duty CP2 - Code of Conduct - Tender Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Tender Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Tender Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Tender Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Tender Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Tender Standards	Sustained Unfounded Not-Sustained Not-Sustained	Employee Suspended Previously Resigned/Retired Employee Suspended Previously Resigned/Retired	The investigation determined by clear and convincing evidence, that the allegations were false or not supported by fact. The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegation. The investigation determined the allegations were supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of multiple policy violations. The investigation determined the allegations were supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of multiple policy violations. The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegations. The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of multiple policy violations. The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegation that the Deputy failed to activate his body-worn camer in a timely manner. The remaining allegations were found to be false or not supported by fact.	Unfounded Not-Sustained Sustained Sustained Not-Sustained	10/23/20: 10/24/20: 10/24/20: 10/24/20: 10/24/20: 10/24/20: 10/24/20:
A2025-0238 05 A2020-0422 08 A2020-0422 08 A2025-0148 04 A2025-0150 04 A2025-0213 05 A2025-0213 05 A2025-0213 05 A2025-0214 05 A2025-0218 05	5/30/2025 8/14/2020 8/14/2020 4/16/2025 4/16/2025 5/23/2025 5/29/2025 5/11/2022	External Complaint External Complaint Internal Complaint Internal Complaint Internal Complaint External Complaint Internal Complaint Internal Complaint	The complainant alleged that an unknown Detention Officer was restricting an inmate's privileges as retaliation for summitted immate grievances. It was alleged that two Detention Officers on 02/05/2025 failed to make reasonable decisions when they interacted with an unscured Glosed custody inmate. It was alleged that two Detention Officers on 02/05/2025 failed to make reasonable decisions when they interacted with an unscured Glosed custody inmate. It was alleged that on 02/07/2025 and 02/08/2025, two Detention Officers failed to make reasonable decision when they passed unknown Items between Closed Custody inmates and interacted with an unsecured Glosed Custody inmate. It was alleged on 02/08/2025 one of the Officers set in the dayroom of a cell in SMU for an hour. The complainant alleged several Detention Officers were rude when dealing with a member of the public. It was alleged a Detention Officer siept while on duty and failed to properly complete job assignments. The complainant alleged that a Deputy exhibited unprofessional behavior and failed to take appropriate action during a call for service. It was oalleged that the Deputy exhibited unprofessional behavior and failed to take appropriate action during a call for service. It was oalleged that the Deputy was not being sympathetic and took inappropriate action during a call for service. It was oalleged that the Deputy was not being sympathetic and took inappropriate action during a call for service. It was alleged as Service to the complainant's race. It was further internally alleged that the Deputy failed to activate his body-worn camera in a timely manner. It was alleged a Detention Officer did not perform his assigned tasks correctly. It was also alleged a Detention.	DRT - IntraFacility inmate Movement CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards GP3 - Incident Report Guidelines GP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP1 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP1 - Antr-Retaliation CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Pailure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Pailure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Pailure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP3 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP3 - Code of Conduct - The Conduct - The Conduct of Conduct Only CP3 - Code of Conduct - The Conduct Only CP4 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - The Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - The Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - The Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - The Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - The Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - The Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - The Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - The Conduct	Sustained Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Unfounded Sustained Unfounded Not-Sustained Not-Su	Employee Suspended Previously Resigned/Retired Employee Suspended Previously Resigned/Retired	The investigation determined by clear and convincing evidence, that the allegations were false or not supported by fact. The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegation. The investigation determined the allegations were supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of multiple policy violations. The investigation determined the allegations were supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of multiple policy violations. The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegations. The investigation determined the allegation was supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of multiple policy violations. The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegation that the Deputy failed to activate his body-worn camer in a timely manner. The remaining allegations were found to be false or not supported by fact.	Unfounded Not-Sustained Sustained Sustained Not-Sustained	10/23/20: 10/24/20: 10/24/20: 10/24/20: 10/24/20: 10/24/20: 10/24/20:
05 04 04 04 04 04 04 04 04 04 04 04 04 04	5/30/2025 8/14/2020 8/14/2020 4/16/2025 4/16/2025 5/23/2025 5/29/2025 5/11/2022	External Complaint External Complaint Internal Complaint Internal Complaint Internal Complaint External Complaint Internal Complaint Internal Complaint	The complainant alleged and Deputy did not complete a proper investigation and included incorrect information in a report. The complainant alleged that an unknown Detention Officer was restricting an inmate's privileges as retailution for summitted inmate grievance. It was alleged that two Detention Officers on 02/05/2025 failed to make reasonable decisions when they interacted with an unsecured Closed Custody immate. It was alleged that no 02/07/2025 and 02/08/2025, two Detention Officers failed to make reasonable decision when they interacted with an unsecured Closed Custody immate and interacted with an unsecured Closed Custody immate. It was alleged on 02/08/2025 one of the Officers sat in the dayroom of a cell in SMU for an hour. The complainant alleged several Detention Officers were rude when dealing with a member of the public. It was alleged a Detention Officer slept while on duty and failed to properly complete job assignments. The complainant alleged that a Deputy exhibited unprofessional behavior and failed to take appropriate action during a call for service. It was sloo gleged that the Depaty was not being sympathetic and took inappropriate actions during the call for service. It was sloo gleged that the Depaty was not being sympathetic and took inappropriate actions during the call for service. It was sloop and the complainant alleged that the Depaty was not being sympathetic and took inappropriate actions during the call for service. It was so alleged that the Depaty was not being sympathetic and took inappropriate actions during the call for service. It was so alleged that the Depaty was not being sympathetic and took inappropriate actions during the call for service. It was so alleged that the Depaty was not being sympathetic and took inappropriate actions during the call for service. It was so alleged that the Depaty was not being sympathetic and took inappropriate actions during the call for service. It was so alleged that the Depaty was not being sympathetic and took inappropriate acti	DH7 - IntraFacility inmate Movement CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards GP3 - Incident Report Guidelines GP3 - Incident Report Guidelines GP3 - Incident Report Guidelines GP4 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP1 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP4 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP3 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP4 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP5 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP5 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP5 - Code of Conduct - Pailure to Meet Standards CP5 - Code of Conduct - Pailure to Meet Standards CP5 - Code of Conduct - Pailure to Meet Standards CP5 - Code of Conduct - Pailure to Meet Standards CP5 - Code of Conduct - Pailure to Meet Standards CP6 - Code of Conduct - Unbecoming Conduct and Public Demeanor CP7 - Code of Conduct - Indeptoning Conduct and Public Demeanor CP7 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP7 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP8 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP8 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP9 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP9 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards CP9 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Sustained Unfounded Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Sustained Unfounded	Employee Suspended Previously Resigned/Retired Employee Suspended Previously Resigned/Retired	The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegations. The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegation. The investigation determined the allegations were supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of multiple policy violations. The investigation determined the allegations were supported by the preponderance of the evidence and justified a reasonable conclusion of multiple policy violations. The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegations. The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegations. The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegations. The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegation that the Deputy failed to activate his body-worn camer in a timely manner. The remaining allegations were found to be faile or not supported by fact. The investigation determined there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegation that the Deputy failed to activate his body-worn camer in a timely manner. The remaining allegations were found to be faile or not supported by fact.	Unfounded Not-Sustained Sustained Sustained Not-Sustained Not-Sustained ra Not-Sustained	10/23/2 10/24/2 10/24/2 10/24/2 10/24/2 10/24/2 10/24/2 10/27/2

Professional Standards Bureau Paragraph #252 - Detailed Summaries of Completed Internal Affairs Investigations

rapn	#252 -	Detalled	Summarie	22 01	completed	ımterr
			10/01/2	025	- 10/31/20	25

IA No Open date	Incident type	Summary	Allegation(s)/Force Type(s)	Outcome Discipline	Investigative Summary Disposition Closed
IA2025-0158 04/18/2025	External Complaint	The complainants alleged that Deputies assisting in the execution of a search warrant, were not wearing body-worn camera-	s, CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Not-Sustained	The investigation found that 3 Deputies were not on scene during the execution of the warrant, thus the allegations that they failed to provide their name Not-Sustained 10/30/2025
		and would not identify themselves or give their badge numbers when they were asked.	GJ35 - Body-Worn Cameras	Exonerated	and badge number were false or not supported by fact. Furthermore, there was insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the 4 Deputies on scene failed
			CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Unfounded	to provide their information when requested. Due to the execution of a search warrant, it was found the Deputies not wearing their Body Worn Cameras
			GJ35 - Body-Worn Cameras	Exonerated	were within Office Policy, Procedures or Training.
			CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Not-Sustained	
			GJ35 - Body-Worn Cameras	Exonerated	
			CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Unfounded	
			GJ35 - Body-Worn Cameras	Exonerated	
			CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Not-Sustained	
			GJ35 - Body-Worn Cameras	Exonerated	
			CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Not-Sustained	
			GJ35 - Body-Worn Cameras	Exonerated	
			CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Not-Sustained	
			GJ35 - Body-Worn Cameras	Exonerated	
			CP2 - Code of Conduct - Failure to Meet Standards	Unfounded	
			GJ35 - Body-Worn Cameras	Exonerated	
IA2025-0143 04/14/2025	Internal Complaint	It was alleged that a Supervisor in the Extraditions Unit verbally abused and retaliated against a subordinate.	CP3 - Workplace Professionalism	Unfounded	The investigation determined by clear and convincing evidence that the allegations were false and not supported by fact. Unfounded 10/31/2025
			CP2 - Code of Conduct - Employee Relationships with other Employees	Unfounded	